

The Board of Public Affairs met in regular session on October 5, 2009.

ROLL CALL ~ Mr. Blythe, Mr. Campbell and Mr. Miller.

INVOCATION

Motion made by Mr. Miller to accept the minutes from the September 14, 2009 meeting, second by Mr. Blythe and unanimously passed.

BILLS PAID 2ND HALF SEPTEMBER 2009:

Thomas G. Graber	\$1,212.66
Laquita M. Pickard	\$1,022.68
James C. Baxter	\$1,323.63
Gary A. Wenzel	\$653.18
Principal Life	\$33.99
Verizon Wireless	\$78.41
Huntington Bank	\$57.06
Ohio Water Environ Assoc	\$650.00
OPERS	\$1,568.92
First HSA Inc.	\$212.49
Laquita M. Pickard	\$155.70
Huntington Bank	\$69.33
Aultcare/MLIC	\$2,739.15
Postmaster	\$207.52
Thomas G. Graber	\$1,212.66
Laquita M. Pickard	\$1,022.68
James C. Baxter	\$1,284.29
Gary A. Wenzel	\$653.18
Dale Campbell	\$260.63
Forest Miller	\$260.63
Bob Blythe	\$260.63
TOTAL	\$14,939.42

Motion made by Mr. Blythe to accept payment of said bills, second by Mr. Miller and unanimously passed

OLD BUSINESS

The Old Business part of the minutes we reported that we were going to be working on the micro strainer, and we did get that back together and the micro strainer is back in operation. Currently we have both micro strainers operating.

Discussion pursued.

Talking with Tom, Gary and the Mayor, I got the feeling that it is best for us to return the hook up fee of \$4,500 and the \$20.00 permit to Mr. Yoder and my understanding is that he lives on Chaparrel and that he will be hooking up there. There has been no action.

Discussion pursued.

Discussed the property at 210 Grand Trunk with Laurie and the Mayor, any questions or suggestions on what we should do there. We will make a recommendation to the Mayor on this as far as the BPA is concerned.

Discussion pursued.

Motion made by Mr. Campbell that we write a certified letter to the owner of 210 Grand Trunk stating that without further documentation the owner will be charged full amount on record, second by Mr. Blythe and unanimously passed.

Regarding the Yoder property, motion made by Mr. Miller to pay the \$4,520 to Mr. Yoder to reimburse the original payment for the tap in fee, also \$4,520 would be required if owner is to have future sewer connection, second by Mr. Campbell and unanimously passed.

Again, in the case of vacant residences and businesses, they will be billed one half the monthly rate until they inform the Village in writing of the vacancy, and Cheryl sent out a request from the Mayor stating, "Until the Village Office is notified that a dwelling, (residential/commercial) is vacant, the owner will be responsible for the normal monthly sewer fee. If a dwelling becomes vacated and the property owner notifies the office of the vacancy, only then will the monthly sewer bill be one half of the monthly rate. This will continue until the dwelling is occupied".

Discussion pursued.

The BPA concurs with the Mayor's comments on vacant dwellings.

NEW BUSINESS

I mentioned that the Stark County Health was having a meeting and Jim and I both attended. What I just gave you was an e-mail from Paul at the Stark County Health and we went over the issues are increasing the plumbing, venting through the roof to 3 inches. What does that involve? This is only new construction, this is not from construction past. The reason why they are doing this is the plumbers. They are finding out that plumbers will read the code as if you need an 1 1/2" vent coming out a roof, that is the minimum and they are reading it as that is what they have to put up, cost wise and everything else. What that Ohio Code is saying is those are the minimum and the only way we can get around this, if you are going to hook up to our system you have to have a 3" vent. This is future stuff. It is a really good program that they want us to implement. The only thing I'm going to wait on is the language.

Discussion pursued including grease traps for restaurants.

We had contracted with Humbert's Sanitary to replace all the pipes in the valve box at Cornerstone Lift Station and that was completed. We did find that the one check valve, the flap was unhooked in there, so the one pump was not pumping to its capacity. It was a good thing to get it replaced and I don't see a need to replace it again because the parts used were stainless steel and all good parts.

I have three requisitions but there are two on this one, one is to replace the final sampler, the other one was in service for 20 years and cannot be repaired and to get it replaced it is \$5,087.68, and then there is start up in training for another \$450.00. And this is something that we normally have budgeted each year.

Discussion pursued.

The other requisition, if you remember awhile back we had a pump, the non potable pump that we removed and had it sent out to see if it could be repaired. We got the report that it would take (I do not have the paper with me). What it amounts to is the that cost of a brand new one is \$9,778, the cost to repair the old one which was basically replacing everything in it was 67% of this. That company always recommended to me that once you cross that 60% line you should just replace the pump and not try to repair it because you will not get the warranty. That is why we went with the new one.

Discussion pursued.

Motion made by Mr. Blythe to adjourn, second by Mr. Miller and unanimously passed.

FISCAL OFFICER

CHAIRMAN